


# A targeting strategy for domestic energy efficiency in Canterbury

An action plan to address domestic energy efficiency locally.



David Shewan  
CEN  
September 2004



This report is part of the Energy Saving Trust Hotspots Innovation Programme, a partnership between 20 Local Authorities in South West London and Kent

# A targeting strategy for domestic energy efficiency in Canterbury

## Contents

---

1. Introduction	3
1.1 Background: the Hotspots project	3
1.2 Project partners	4
<hr/>	
2. Analysis	5
2.1 Summary	5
2.2 Data coverage	7
2.3 Housing analysis by ward	7
2.4 Demographic analysis	10
<hr/>	
3. Proposed action plan	11
<hr/>	
Appendix 1: Future work	13



# 1. Introduction

This report is a detailed analysis of the potential for domestic energy efficiency installations in Canterbury, and has been completed in partnership with Canterbury Borough Council as part of the Energy Saving Trust Hotspots Innovation Programme.

The report provides a profile of the neighbourhoods in the borough where there is most potential for the installation of domestic energy efficiency measures by residents. It is the aim of the report to provide a framework for the coordinated promotion of energy efficiency measures in the borough.

In particular, the report identifies 'HotSpots' for energy efficiency targeting. These are areas where the promotion of energy efficiency measures will be most effective.

## 1.1 Background: the Hotspots project

The report has been completed as part of the Hotspots project. The project is a partnership of organisations in South West London and Kent with an interest in domestic energy efficiency. The project is designed to bring together the large amount of data that has been collected about domestic energy efficiency in order to target the promotion of energy efficiency measures to residents.

Many organisations in the public sector have responsibilities and commitments to facilitate energy efficiency improvements in domestic homes. Key among these organisations are Local Authorities, regional Energy Efficiency Advice Centres, Energy Suppliers, and the Energy Saving Trust. These organisations typically also collect data to provide tailored advice to householders, or to measure progress towards HECA and other statutory targets. However, the data has typically not been used to target the installation of measures in households.

The Hotspots project meets this opportunity, and will facilitate energy efficiency improvements in domestic homes by collating this householder data in order to find and target the geographical areas with most potential for energy efficiency improvements. The project will also provide match funding to assist promotional activities in each partner borough in terms of part-funding for promotional activity from the Energy Saving Trust and up to 60% subsidies on energy efficiency measures for householders through EDF Energy's Energy Efficiency Commitment funding.

The project represents a partnership between 20 partner Local Authorities and two partner Energy Efficiency Advice Centres with support from EDF energy and



the Energy Saving Trust. The project is part-funded by the Energy Saving Trust until 2006, and aims to provide a framework which the project partners can use for the coordination of the facilitation of energy efficiency improvements in domestic homes.

## 1.2 Project partners

The project is led by Creative Environmental Networks and the London Borough of Hillingdon, and receives core funding from the Energy Saving Trust. Creative Environmental Networks is a not for profit environmental company that runs the two regional Energy Efficiency Advice Centres in South West London and Kent.



The following organisations are project partners. The project covers the area of the 20 Local Authority partners below.

- Ashford Borough Council
- Canterbury City Council
- Creative Environmental Networks
- London Borough of Croydon
- Dartford Borough Council
- Energy Saving Trust
- Gravesham Borough council
- Kent Energy Centre
- Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames
- London Borough of Hillingdon
- Maidstone Borough Council
- Medway Council
- London Borough of Merton
- London Borough of Richmond upon Thames
- Sevenoaks Borough Council
- Shepway Borough Council
- London Borough of Sutton
- Swale Borough Council
- Thanet Borough Council
- Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council
- Tunbridge Wells Borough Council
- London Borough of Wandsworth



## 2. Analysis

The analysis below uses existing datasets to determine the areas of Canterbury where there is maximum potential for the uptake by householders of domestic energy efficiency measures.

### 2.1 Summary

The first part of the analysis aims to find the areas of the borough where the domestic housing has high potential for energy efficiency improvements. The analysis has focussed on loft and cavity wall insulation potential (see section 2.1.2).

The analysis has only been possible where there is sufficient Home Energy data coverage, so before the analysis was started the data coverage was investigated (see section 2.2). The analysis concluded that in Canterbury the data coverage fairly poor: there is sufficient data to conduct a meaningful analysis at ward level, but not for an analysis at census output area level. A census output area is a sub-ward classification, containing approx. 60-200 households as illustrated in Figure 1. Of the 20 boroughs involved in the HotSpots project only 9 have sufficient data for the more detailed study. (Appendix 1 contains suggestions for future data gathering work to make the data coverage uniform.)




Figure 1: A census output area analysis of LB Sutton, a HotSpot borough with very good data coverage. The figure shows the power of good data coverage.

This analysis of home energy efficiency potential by ward is described in section 2.3, and HotSpot areas at each level are tentatively identified in these sections. The patterns of uninsulated lofts are likely to be quite independent of the pattern for houses with uninsulated cavity walls so the analysis has been conducted in two parts.

The second part of the analysis (see section 2.4) concerns population, housing type, and tenure. The strategy is designed with the overarching aim

of reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions through improved energy efficiency, and the demographic data has been used to target the 'able to pay'. We are aiming to target residents with the aim of maximum uptake of energy efficiency measures, so we should seek:

- householders who own or who are buying their home
- householders who have significant disposable income
- householders who live in houses rather than flats.

Census data has been used to produce this population / housing type / tenure analysis. It has then been used as an overlay for the home energy efficiency data: in this section the HotSpots tentatively identified in section 2.3 are confirmed or discarded based on the suitability of the population, housing type, and tenure.

The strategy is not designed to target fuel poverty, although it acknowledges that there is scope for a similar approach to target people who have to use a large proportion of their income to keep their homes sufficiently heated. We aim to publish a sister strategy designed to take this approach before the end of 2004.

### **2.1.1 Data sources**

The data analysis has made use of three main data sources. These are:

- Home Energy Check data, collected by the local Energy Efficiency Advice Centres and owned by the Energy Saving Trust; this data has only been available at ward level, but this may change in future editions of the strategy.
- Home Energy Survey data, collected by the Local Authorities in order to report on the energy efficiency of the borough to the government, and meet their Home Energy Conservation Act (HECA) responsibilities;
- Data from the 2001 Census, available from the Office for National Statistics.

There is potential for additional data to be integrated with the report. Additional Home Energy Survey and Home Energy Check data will increase the significance of the analysis. If original data can be incorporated from the Local Authority's Home Condition Survey this will also increase the significance of the analysis. We are working to progress this work and will aim to incorporate this information into the second editions of these Strategies in 2005.

### **2.1.2 Choice of energy efficiency measures**

Although there are a wide variety of energy efficiency measures available for housing, the study has focussed on loft and cavity wall insulation, as they are both effective and cheap compared with other measures, especially in the present climate of increasing fuel prices. Loft and cavity wall insulation are available to householders for about £300 per measure before subsidies. Through Hotspots, subsidies of 40-60% will be available allowing the



measures to pay for themselves within 2-3 years. This makes them suitable as investment measures, and promotion to householders will result in high take-up.

Measures such as efficient heating systems, double glazing, and solid wall insulation are all comparable with loft and cavity effective in terms of energy efficiency but are typically more expensive by a factor of 4-10. This makes them measures that should be installed during the normal course of household refurbishment and maintenance, rather than as an investment measures. Potential for installation of these measures has therefore been judged outside the scope of this study.

## 2.2 Data Coverage

The Home Energy data coverage in Canterbury is reasonably poor.

When the data was collated by ward, the 95% confidence intervals were calculated as being similar to or smaller than the size of the 10 category classification intervals used in the analysis below. However, less than 1% of the census output areas in the borough had enough data for the confidence intervals to feasibly allow more than 2 category bands (a census output area is a sub-ward classification, containing approx. 60-200 households). For this reason analysis was not conducted at census output area level.

[Appendix 1 contains suggestions for future data gathering work to make the data coverage more uniform.]

## 2.3 Housing analysis by ward

The ward level data analysis has been conducted using Home Energy Check data from the Energy Saving Trust and Home Energy Survey data, collected by the Local Authorities. The data is a compilation of data collected between 1999 and 2004.

### 2.3.1 Cavity Wall insulation

The map (figure 2) and table (table 1) overleaf show the proportion of unfilled cavity walls in Canterbury by Ward.



The map shows a small number of rather concentrated cavity wall 'Hotspot' wards in the north of the borough.




Figure 2: proportion of unfilled cavity walls in Canterbury by ward

Table 1 shows more specifically that there potential for cavity wall insulation in the various wards is generally high. Although the potential is as high as 38% in Tankerton Ward, none of the borough has wards with less than 10% potential.

Ward Name	Housing with unfilled cavity walls
<b>Tankerton Ward</b>	<b>38%</b>
<b>Chestfield and Swalecliffe Ward</b>	<b>35%</b>
<b>St. Stephens Ward</b>	<b>32%</b>
<b>Harbledown Ward</b>	<b>30%</b>
Blean Forest Ward	29%
Sturry South Ward	28%
North Nailbourne Ward	27%
Herne and Broomfield Ward	25%
Marshside Ward	25%
Reculver Ward	23%
Barham Downs Ward	22%
Barton Ward	22%
Gorrell Ward	21%
Greenhill and Eddington Ward	21%
Harbour Ward	20%
Seasalter Ward	20%
Heron Ward	19%
Northgate Ward	19%
West Bay Ward	19%
Chartham and Stone Street Ward	18%
Wincheap Ward	18%



Westgate Ward	17%
Sturry North Ward	14%
Little Stour Ward	11%

Table 1: proportion of unfilled cavity walls in Canterbury by ward

Based on this data, the top 4 wards (those with potential of 30% or greater) are tentatively designated 'Hotspots'.

### 2.3.2 Loft Insulation

The map (figure 3) and table (table 2) show the proportion of completely uninsulated lofts in Canterbury by Ward. The map shows that, as usual, the uninsulated loft 'HotSpots' have a very different pattern from the cavity wall insulation 'Hotspots'. In addition it shows that Canterbury in general has a very wide range of potential: at ward level, potential of greater than 12% is rather high, less than 2% is rather low.




Figure 3: proportion of empty lofts in Canterbury by ward

Table 2 shows the actual proportions of insulated lofts in Canterbury by ward.

Ward Name	Housing with empty lofts
<b>Blean Forest Ward</b>	<b>16%</b>
<b>Harbour Ward</b>	<b>16%</b>
<b>Reculver Ward</b>	<b>14%</b>
<b>North Nailbourne Ward</b>	<b>13%</b>
Marshside Ward	11%

Northgate Ward	11%
Tankerton Ward	10%
Westgate Ward	10%
St. Stephens Ward	9%
Harbledown Ward	9%
Heron Ward	9%
Chestfield and Swalecliffe Ward	8%
Herne and Broomfield Ward	8%
Wincheap Ward	8%
Gorrell Ward	7%
Sturry South Ward	6%
West Bay Ward	6%
Chartham and Stone Street Ward	6%
Sturry North Ward	5%
Little Stour Ward	5%
Greenhill and Eddington Ward	3%
Seasalter Ward	3%
Barton Ward	1%
Barham Downs Ward	0%

Table 2: proportion of empty lofts in Canterbury by ward

Based on this data, the top 4 wards (those with potential greater than 12%) are tentatively designated 'Hotspots'.

## 2.4 Demographic analysis

The demographic analysis has been conducted to screen the hotspots identified in the previous sections for the purposes of targeting. The census in 2001 provides a huge variety of demographic information, including information about:

- economic activity of householders
- tenure of households
- type of household (ie houses or flats)

We are ideally seeking:

- householders who can afford to pay for measures
- owner occupier householders

In addition, for cavity wall insulation we are seeking householders who live in houses rather than flats.

In general Canterbury has a varied proportion of householders in the higher income brackets, a high level of owner occupancy, and a high number of houses rather than flats. This is all positive for the project.

### 2.4.1 Analysis at ward level; confirmation of 'HotSpots'



### *Cavity wall Hotspots*

The owner occupancy, income levels, and proportion of houses are all high in the wards tentatively identified as hotspots, and they are all confirmed as hotspots.

<b>Ward Name</b>	<b>Housing with unfilled cavity walls</b>
<b><i>Tankerton Ward</i></b>	<b>38%</b>
<b><i>Chestfield and Swalecliffe Ward</i></b>	<b>35%</b>
<b><i>St. Stephens Ward</i></b>	<b>32%</b>
<b><i>Harbledown Ward</i></b>	<b>30%</b>

Table 6: cavity wall insulation 'Hotspot' wards

### *Loft Insulation Hotspots*

The owner occupancy is high in all of the wards tentatively identified as hotspots, and they are all confirmed as hotspots. The average income is low in Blean Forest Ward, but due to the very high level of potential in the ward, and the high level of owner occupancy (68%), it and the other three tentative hotspot wards are confirmed.

<b>Ward Name</b>	<b>Housing with empty lofts</b>
<b><i>Blean Forest Ward</i></b>	<b>16%</b>
<b><i>Harbour Ward</i></b>	<b>16%</b>
<b><i>Reculver Ward</i></b>	<b>14%</b>
<b><i>North Nailbourne Ward</i></b>	<b>13%</b>

## **3. Proposed action plan**

The HotSpots project is conceived as a tool for Local Authorities, Energy Efficiency Advice Centres, Regional Authorities and so on to use in the effective promotion of energy efficiency measures to householders. The Hotspots project has some budget for publicity, but we aim to work closely with Local Authorities and other interested partners to

The Hotspots project has a publicity budget over 2 years to promote energy efficiency measures using the findings in each of the 20 partner Local Authorities. This budget will be divided as evenly as possible between the partner areas and will be used to fund core activities as described below. However, there will be considerable scope for the core activities to be significantly strengthened by linking closely with energy efficiency promotional activities of Local Authorities and other partners.

There is considerable scope for additional activity to link with each of the activities described below. CEN can provide support with this, from providing lists of Hotspot areas not yet mailed, to providing designs and materials and support with complementary activities.

### ***Timescale***

We plan to conduct the publicity in October, November, and early December 2004, to coincide with the onset of winter.

### ***Branding***

As demonstrated in this report, the cavity wall insulation hotspots and the loft insulation hotspots are quite different. With this in mind we will be aiming for two discrete messages.

We will be aiming to support the brands currently existing (the Canterbury council KASH scheme), but we are keen to use an attention grabbing message that links the local area directly. We propose a '(many people in XXX area) haven't got much upstairs' 'teaser' message for loft insulation, and a '(many people in XXX area) have got cavities?' 'teaser' message for cavity wall insulation.

### ***Activity 1: Press releases.***

We plan three press releases between October and December. These will be sent direct to local papers, and in partnership with Local Authority press officers.

We hope to be able to work with the councillors of Hotspot wards in press release related publicity activities.

### ***Activity 2: Direct mailings***

We plan to write to about 1250 households randomly selected from Hotspot wards in each borough. There would be potential to target additional households with Local Authority support.

### ***Activity 3: Street / outdoor posters***

We are planning two or three street / outdoor posters (to be displayed in bus shelters, shopping areas, etc) in hotspot areas. The poster design will be customised to suit the specific area where the poster is located. There would be potential for additional posters with Local Authority support.

### ***Activity 4: Targeting of discrete districts.***

We plan to distribute leaflets and small posters and through local distribution points (such as libraries, restaurants, shops) in Hotspot areas of the borough. The posters will be designed with the messages already described, and will be customised to the local area. If the distributions could be arranged by the Local Authority we could divert budget to other activities.

We have not got resources to distribute letters and leaflets to houses in Hotspot areas, but we would recommend this activity in small Hotspot areas, and would provide support with materials.

### ***Activity 5: Advertisements in Local Authority magazines.***

We plan to arrange an advertisement and some editorial about the project in the Local Authority magazine.





## Appendix 1: Future work

### Additional data

#### *Additional data gathering*

Of the total 451 census output areas in Canterbury, the average number of surveys per census output area is 4.2, with only 15 census output areas having more than 10 surveys: 10 surveys per census output area is a minimum for meaningful analysis. If future data gathering mailings were to concentrate on producing a uniform coverage at this level or higher, data analysis would be possible.

On request we can provide information that would be of assistance in securing uniform data coverage most efficiently, including mailing lists or lists of full postcodes of households to be targeted.

#### *Home condition survey*

The Local Authority home condition survey includes information that could be used to increase the data resolution of future strategies. However, we have had compatibility problems with home condition survey databases. If we can access home condition survey data in a suitably compatible format we will incorporate it into the HotSpots project.

### Evaluation and future strategy editions

We are planning to publish a second HotSpots strategy in September 2005.

This will include any extra data that we can access in the meantime, and the strategy will also include an evaluation of the energy efficiency promotion outlined in section 3 for the winter of 2003 / 2004. This will give us a good indication of the effectiveness of the HotSpots approach, which may be modified based on the findings of this strategy.

